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## Biometric Data Summary

■ 2013 \% Elevated - 2014 \% Elevated


## Health Improvement Score 2014

\% Improvement

40\%
Overall Improvement across group biometrics.


## Blood Pressure

## 2013 (428 total)

## 2014 (411 total)



## Blood Pressure Medication

## 2013 (428 total)

## 2014 (411 total)



## Female \% Body Fat

## 2013 (198 total)

## 2014 (202 total)



## 

## Male \% Body Fat

## 2013 (180 total)

## 2014 (180 total)



Normal
(<25\%)
64\%

## Body Mass Index

## 2013 (363 total)

## 2014 (382 total)



## 

## Total Cholesterol

Measurement of HDL, LDL \& $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ of Triglycerides.

## 2013 (472 total)

## 2014 (451 total)



## 2013 (469 total)

## 2014 (451 total)

## Elevated <br> $$
\geq 130
$$ <br> 18\%

3 had triglycerides too high to get LDL


## 2013 (258 total)

## 2014 (235 total)



## HDL Men (Healthy Cholesterol)

## 2013 (214 total) <br> 2014 (216 total)




## Cholesterol Ratio

(Total Cholesterol/HDL)

## 2013 (472 total)



## 2014 (451 total)

Normal
<5.0
90\%

## 

## Triglycerides

## 2013 (471 total) <br> 2014 (451 total)

Elevated<br>$\geq 150$<br>32\%

Normal<br><150<br>68\%

## Glucose

## 2013 (472 total)

## 2014 (451 total)



## Hemoglobin A1C

Most Improved Category by 15\%

1\% Diabetic
14\% Pre-diabetic

## 2013 (471 total)

## 2014 (449 total)

| Pre- 5.7- | Diabetic |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.4 | $>6.4$ |
| $24 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| Pre- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5.7-6.4$ |  |
| $10 \%$ | Diabetic |
|  | $>6.4$ |
|  | $2 \%$ |

Normal
4.7-5.6 88\%


## 2013 (172 total)

## 2014 (168 total)



## Iron (Women)

No Change

## 2013 (253 total) <br> 2014 (295 total)



## $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \mathrm{Max}$ (158 total)



## Risk Assessment Categories

Rank of participating SAU Employees in 5 Categories
of Health to determine individual risk.

A comparison from 2013 to 2014


# Higher <br> High Risk <br> - <br> All 4 levels <br> elevated 

## Ind./Total

$2013-(6 / 487)=1 \%$
$2014-(3 / 433)=1 \%$

- BMI: $35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or higher, Class II and III
- Cholesterol: 240 total or higher, or ratio greater than 5.5
- Blood pressure: 140/90 and higher (hypertensive)
- Blood glucose: greater than 125, with A1C $>6.4 \%$ (diabetic)


## High Risk

- BMI: $30-35 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, Class 1
- Cholesterol: 200-239, or ratio greater than 5.0-5.4
- Blood Pressure: 120/80 (normal) up to 139/89 (prehypertension)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Level Ind./Total } \\
& 2013-[4](8 / 487)=2 \% \\
&-[3](92 / 487=19 \% \\
& 2014-[4](30 / 433)=7 \% \\
&-[3](88 / 433)=20 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

levels
elevated
[4] or [3]

## Moderate Risk

Only 2 levels elevated

Ind./Total
$2013-(130 / 487)=27 \%$
$2014-(112 / 433)=26 \%$

- BMI: greater than $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Cholesterol: Any abnormal level, including lower HDL levels
- Blood pressure: any pressure above 120/80
- Blood glucose: any level above 100, with A1C greater than $5.7 \%$ up to 6.4\% (pre-diabetic)


## Low Risk

- 

Only 1 level
elevated

- BMI: greater than $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Cholesterol: Any abnormal level, including lower HDL levels
- Blood pressure: any pressure above 120/80 up to 139/89
- Blood glucose: any level above 100, with A1C greater than $5.7 \%$ up to 6.4\% (pre-diabetic)


## Lowest <br> Low Risk -

No levels elevated
$2013-(136 / 487)=$
28\%
$2014-(108 / 433)=$
25\%

- BMI: less than $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Cholesterol: Less than 200
- Blood pressure: $\leq 120 / 80$
- Blood glucose: $\leq 100$, with A1C less than 5.6\%


## 2013/2014 Summary of Risk Categories

## 2013 (487 total)

- Highest Risk - (6) 1\%
- High Risk [4] - (8) 2\%
[3] - (92) 19\%
- Mod. Risk -(130) 27\%
- Low Risk - (115) 23\%
- Lowast (Sisk ar (130 Lowest \& Low Risk >70\% Highest \& High <20\%


## 2014 (433 total)

- Highest Risk - (3) 1\%
- High Risk [4] - (30) 7\%
[3] - (88) 20\%
- Mod. Risk -(112) 26\%
- Low Risk - (92) 21\%

51\%
46\%
22\%
28\%


## As compared to August, 2013 Screening



## New Screening Participants

Category 5
Highest High Risk

Category 4
High Risk

Category 3
Moderate Risk
Category 2
Low Risk

Category 1 No Risk

## As compared to August, 2013 Screening



## Summary of Screening Comparison

- Employees/Spouses:
- 16\% of participants moved to a lower risk category.
- 40\% of participants improved ranges, but remained in the same category.
- 46\% total improvement.
- Coaching Clients:
- 20\% of participants moved to a lower risk category.
- 32\% of participants improved ranges, but remained in the same category.
- 52\% total improvement.


## Online Health Risk Assessment

## Statistics to help sau look forward to better health by lowering risk categories.

August 2014, Testwell, National Wellness Institute


## Summary of Categorized Questions on HRA



## Topics of Interest by Rank

## 225 participants from Online HRA (50\%)

- Weight Reduction (46)
- Relaxation (33)
- Health \& Wellness Coaching (28)
- Women’s Issues (25)
- Financial Management (23)
- Nutrition (23)
- Recreation \& Leisure (21)
- Men's Issues (15)
- Medical Self-Care (13)
- $\quad$ Self Esteem (12)
- Depression (11)
- Parenting Skills (11)
- Loneliness (7)
- Environmental Issues (4)
- Medical Emergencies (3)
- $\quad$ Spiritual or Philosophical Values (3)
- Death \& Dying (3)
- $\quad$ Sexuality (2)

Given at Employee Wellness Assessment
4.9 - Staff readiness \& professionalism
4.8 - Would recommend this program
4.8 - Overall satisfaction of assessment
4.7 - Understood results given
4.5 - Professional materials
4.5 - Time efficiency at stations
4.3 - Understood process of online HRA

## Special Thanks

Power for Mind \& Soul
Goes to all assessment day volunteers from SAU faculty and the Wellness Committee.

Also to Dr. Benge and Darrin Bissel, who helped make the organization and administration of the assessment possible.

It's not just about the data, but the outcome.

## Thank You!



